

To: Fortin, Denise[Fortin.Denise@epa.gov]; Deamer, Eileen[deamer.eileen@epa.gov]; Helbick, Mike (Baldwin)[Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov]; Beckmann, Ronna Erin[beckmann.ronna@epa.gov]
From: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin)
Sent: Mon 12/5/2016 9:36:49 PM
Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

Thanks Denise.

From: Fortin, Denise [mailto:Fortin.Denise@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, December 5, 2016 4:23 PM
To: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) <Colleene_Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov>; Deamer, Eileen <deamer.eileen@epa.gov>; Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) <Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov>; Beckmann, Ronna Erin <beckmann.ronna@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

FYI, Colleene - we're checking with our SDWA attorneys on your follow-up questions. I should have answers for you tomorrow.

Denise

Denise Fortin

Congressional Liaison

Office of Regional Administrator

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

312-886-9859

From: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) [mailto:Colleene_Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2016 2:49 PM
To: Deamer, Eileen <deamer.eileen@epa.gov>; Fortin, Denise <Fortin.Denise@epa.gov>; Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) <Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov>; Beckmann, Ronna Erin <beckmann.ronna@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

Thanks Eileen, this is helpful.

If a local ordinance was passed to prohibit the citing of a facility within a source water protection area, would that invoke federal protections of any sort (ie under SDWA)? Or are you suggesting it would be the community's own regulatory authority that would protect the water? If it is the case that SDWA can be invoked in that way, can you share info on that process? And do you know if a community in a state other than the state in which the pollutant originates can leverage that protection?

Thanks,

Colleene

From: Deamer, Eileen [<mailto:deamer.eileen@epa.gov>]

Sent: Thursday, December 1, 2016 3:44 PM

To: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) <Colleene_Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov>; Fortin, Denise <Fortin.Denise@epa.gov>; Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) <Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov>; Beckmann, Ronna Erin <beckmann.ronna@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

Colleene - I checked in with our drinking water branch, and there is nothing in the SDWA that requires permit reviews or prohibits adverse discharges to a community's water source. For example, there are discharges to Lake Michigan – which supplies drinking water to millions of people in bordering areas. Source water protection is voluntary, unless the community passes a local ordinance prohibiting the citing of a facility within its source water protection area.

Thanks,

Eileen

From: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) [mailto:Colleene_Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 01, 2016 11:09 AM
To: Deamer, Eileen <deamer.eileen@epa.gov>; Fortin, Denise <Fortin.Denise@epa.gov>;
 Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) <Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov>; Beckmann, Ronna Erin
 <beckmann.ronna@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

That does help, thank you.

My question still stands on whether there are Safe Drinking Water Act provisions that would also come in to play given that communities source their water from the rivers that could potentially be impacted by discharge? Perhaps source protection provisions?

If a call is easier for that, I'm free before 4:30 CST.

Thanks,

Colleene

From: Deamer, Eileen [<mailto:deamer.eileen@epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, December 1, 2016 11:47 AM
To: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) <Colleene_Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov>; Fortin, Denise
 <Fortin.Denise@epa.gov>; Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) <Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov>;
 Beckmann, Ronna Erin <beckmann.ronna@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

Hi Colleene –

Denise is out today so I followed up on your question below.

The company has withdrawn its application, which ends the review process. If, as we expect, the company submits a new application, the process and timelines would begin again.

Does that help? We're happy to have a call with you today if you need additional details.

Thanks,

Eileen Deamer

(312) 886-1728

From: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) [mailto:Colleene_Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 6:00 PM
To: Fortin, Denise <Fortin.Denise@epa.gov>; Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) <Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov>; Deamer, Eileen <deamer.eileen@epa.gov>; Beckmann, Ronna Erin <beckmann.ronna@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

Hi Denise,

Thanks for this quick reply! In the second document, dated 8/15/16 on the CWA permit, the letter lays out requirements that must be met under the CWA if the permit is allowed to proceed, and says that if they are not met in 90 days, authority over permit issuance would transfer to the Army Corps. By my count we are past 90 days—can you help us understand what the status of MDEQ's response is?

The Marinette River is a source of drinking water for several thousand people. Does anything in

the Safe Drinking Water Act or other laws require certain per-permit issuance reviews or restrict discharges that could endanger that drinking water source?

Thanks,

Colleene

From: Fortin, Denise [<mailto:Fortin.Denise@epa.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 4:37 PM
To: Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) <Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov>; Deamer, Eileen <deamer.eileen@epa.gov>; Beckmann, Ronna Erin <beckmann.ronna@epa.gov>
Cc: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) <Colleene_Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov>
Subject: RE: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

Thanks, Mike. I hope you had a great holiday, too!

I've spoken with staff in both our NEPA and Water Divisions and EPA does not have a direct role in this project. All permit decisions rest with MDEQ or MI DNR. The federal Clean Water Act permit responsibilities under NPDES, Section 401 and Section 404 are exercised by MDEQ with EPA oversight. Likewise, MDEQ has federal Clean Air Act permit responsibilities with EPA oversight. For your information, I've attached EPA's recent oversight comment letters to MDEQ on NPDES, 404, and air.

Also, there are no federal agency decisions on this mine project that triggers NEPA. I've attached a reply to a letter from a citizen who requested an environmental impact statement be prepared under NEPA. This letter includes cc's to MDEQ staff who would be the appropriate state contacts for this mine.

Hope this is helpful. Please let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,

Denise

Denise Fortin

Congressional Liaison

Office of Regional Administrator

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

312-886-9859

From: Helbick, Mike (Baldwin) [mailto:Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2016 10:30 AM

To: Fortin, Denise <Fortin.Denise@epa.gov>; Deamer, Eileen <deamer.eileen@epa.gov>; Beckmann, Ronna Erin <beckmann.ronna@epa.gov>

Cc: Thomas, Colleene (Baldwin) <Colleene_Thomas@baldwin.senate.gov>

Subject: Sen. Baldwin: Inquiry -- Carlson

Denise and all,

I hope you had a great Thanksgiving. ☺

Attached is a constituent's concerns regarding the Back Forty Gold Mine in MI. A couple quick questions:

- 1) What, if any, role does EPA play in this permitting process (is there any sign-off at all needed by EPA on this permitting or otherwise)?
- 2) How may concerned constituents object to permitting via EPA (and also MI DEQ / DNR,

if you happen to know – or if you can provide contacts for relevant folks in those agencies, that would be great)?

Thank you very much,

Mike

Mike Helbick

Office of U.S. Senator Tammy Baldwin

633 W. Wisconsin Avenue | Suite 1920

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53203

Mike_Helbick@baldwin.senate.gov

(414) 297-4451 main | (800) 247-5645 toll free

Keep up to date by following Tammy online:

